

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)					
AIRS ID#: 7770156 DATE: <u>5/16/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>~10:00 am</u> DEPART: <u>~11:15 am</u>					
FACILITY NAME: MASTER ROCK, LLC					
FACILITY LOCATION: 1701 Myrtle St					
SARASOTA 34234-4817					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: PATRICIA SUNQUIST Email: CONTACT NAME: KEVIN LANE Email: kevinlane@masterrockllc.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/14/2008 / 3/14/2013 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (941)34 Mobile: PHONE: (941)34					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Kevin Lane Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still PATRICIA SUNQUIST?	- ⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still KEVIN LANE? If no, who is?:					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?					

Emissions Unit Section 1 –Metso 1100 mobile crushing plant

		(check ☑	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		•
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ide, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
	 ☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation, ☐ storage bin, ☐ enclosed truck loading station ☐ enclosed railcar loading station; 		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. $\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through } \}$		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
_	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ V	✓ N-
Q	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

1 -Metso 1100 mobile crushing plant

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
LJ '	ine diswer to die of the six Questions 3-10 houre is 110 then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? $\frac{1/1/2004}{}$		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
	racous, rans, dumpers, every to cupture and dumpport particular to a control device.		
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
		_	_
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } $		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No

1 -Metso 1100 mobile crushing plant

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	X Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 -Metso 1100 mobile crushing plant

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not					
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	, , ,	, ,			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				Yes	□No
e. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	in non vent bunding op	chings less than of equal to 770	opacity.	1 C3	140
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control er	nissions from the FII?			☐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint				1 C3	∠3140
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	2		
scrubber and the device has bee					
instructions?				_	□ N-
				☐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device n	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nın +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	essure.}				
and					
b. a device for the continuous measur					
device has been calibrated on an				☐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device n		nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte				_	_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?			years?	☐ Yes	∟No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:					
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?				⊠ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?				⊠ Yes	□No
				_	
				∐No	
<u> </u>				No	
Rate: <u>265 tph</u>					
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes					□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		⊠ Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins				⊠ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate: 265 tph					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six-minute average.					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				⊠ Yes	□No
ı		(
	VE Opac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	t OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constru	cted, modif	ïed,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed o	
	Saspart 000	to 4/22/2008			
Crusher with no capture system					
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Emissions Unit Section 2—crusher engine exhaust

		(check ☑	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		□No □No □No □No
	 □ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation, □ storage bin, □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station; □ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} 		
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

2 -crusher engine exhaust

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	es 🗵No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Ye	es 🗵No
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 1/1/2004		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Ye	es 🗵No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Ye	esNo
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 Ye Ye Ye Ye	es
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		es 🗌 No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Ye☐ Ye☐ Ye	es 🔲No

2 -crusher engine exhaust

16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	П	Yes	□No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		**	
instructions?	ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	<u>د</u>		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		100	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:			
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?			
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete			
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Ш	Yes	∐No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	⊠No
	_		
21. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	닏	Yes	∐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	닏	Yes	∐No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	님	Yes	∐No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No

2 -crusher engine exhaust

individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?				
initial startup of the EU?				
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?				
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?				
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?				
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?				
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? YesNo 23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?				
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? ———————————————————————————————————				
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?				
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?				
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?				
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?				
instructions?				
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? YesNo				
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? YesNo				
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? YesNo				
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? YesNo				
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%				
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?				
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? YesNo				
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?				
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? 🗵 Yes 🗀No				
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?				
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo				
Rate: 265 tph				
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo				
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) 🛛 Yes 🗀No				
26 W VE 4-4 I4-11-41-1				
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit? Yes				
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes				
Rate: 265 tph b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo				
c. The VE test conducted according to EFA Method 9?				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo				
d. Did the VD test demonstrate compitance with the opacity films. (See chart below).				
AMI O. T. A. T.				
VE Opacity Limits				
EU not subject to Subpart OOO EU Subpart OOO EU Subpart OOO EU Subpart OOO EU				
40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, constructed, modified,				
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior or reconstructed on or				
to 4/22/2008 after 4/22/2008				
Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%				
All other affected EUs 20% 10% 7%				

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? \[\] N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		⊠No

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	No No No No No
GENERAL CONDITIONS		only one
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	box for each o	question)
2. Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	<u></u>	□No
b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	□No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT		
	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	5)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	Yes	□No

<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Administrative Changes:	box for each question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days or 	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? YesNo
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
a) Installation of any new process equipment?	
b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	
c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substa	
d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration	Yes Yes
30 days prior to the change?	
Michael Storino, ESIII	05/16/2011
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	12/31/2013
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
COMMENTS: INS3. Michael Storino inspected the facility and obsestirrat, 941-795-2399, was consultant on-site.	erved visible emissions compliance testing. Christopher
Operating rate $\sim = 265$ tph.	
Crusher is Metso model #1110 not #1100	
There is a spray system at input: spray har at exit conveyor drop on crus	sher:

There is a spray system at input; spray bar at exit conveyor drop on crusher; Pile height for fines is kept low; heavy material is not; West side will have wall and silt fence once they move the concrete pile (in ~6 months). Facility has expanded to the South; woking on reducing stockpile height and shifting to new layout due to expansion. They will be reducing unprocessed concrete pile height over the next 6 months -> relocateing crusher on-site.

Use a parts washer ~20 gal/year.

Use water truck 2 x a day (morning and noon); use street sweeper in morning only; will be installing well and spray system; AST out of service.